

Adorno & Yoss LLP
350 E. Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 1700
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
Telephone: (954) 763-1200
Facsimile: (954) 766-7800
Jan Douglas Atlas
Email: jda@adorno.com

Attorneys for Claimant Jane K. Mirande Revocable Trust

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (BRL)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

**CLAIMANT'S OBJECTION TO TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO AFFIRM TRUSTEE'S
DETERMINATIONS DENYING CLAIMS OF CLAIMANTS WITHOUT BLMIS
ACCOUNTS IN THEIR NAMES, NAMELY, INVESTORS IN FEEDER FUNDS
AND INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

Pursuant to this Court's April 13, 2010 order (the "Scheduling Order") (Dkt. No. 2205),
Claimant Jane K. Mirande Revocable Trust ("Claimant"), through its undersigned counsel,
respectfully submits this Objection to the Trustee's Motion to Affirm Trustee's Determinations

Denying Claims of Claimants Without BLMIS Accounts in their Names, Namely, Investors in Feeder Funds, and Incorporated Memorandum of Law, and in support thereof, states as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

Claimant filed a claim with the Trustee pursuant to the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. 78aaa, *et seq.* (“SIPA”) on or about February 23, 2009. On December 8, 2009, the Trustee issued a Notice of Trustee’s Determination of Claim (the “Notice”), denying its claim pursuant to SIPA. Claimant filed an Objection to that Notice on or about January 5, 2010. On June 11, 2010, the Trustee filed a Motion to Affirm Trustee’s Determinations Denying Claims of Claimants Without BLMIS Accounts in their Names, Namely, Investors in Feeder Funds (the “Motion”). The Trustee maintains that Claimant, as an investor in a “Feeder Fund,” is not a “customer” as defined under SIPA, and therefore, is not entitled to protection under SIPA. The Motion is limited to claimants “whose claims emanate from their direct or indirect investments in so-called ‘feeder funds’ that, in turn, had accounts with and invested directly with BLMIS.” (Trustee Memo. at p. 2.)

The Trustee claims that Claimant 1) is not a “customer” as defined by SIPA; and 2) has no cognizable net equity claims. However, based on the acknowledged massive fraud perpetrated by Bernard L. Madoff (“Madoff”) and the magnitude of the losses suffered by the investing public as a result, we submit that the instant situation is clearly and equitably distinguishable from stereotypical SIPC cases, which generally concern bankrupt broker-dealers without the overt existence of fraud. Accordingly, we submit that Claimant should be considered a “customer” based on the legislative intent of SIPA, which is clearly to protect customers such as Claimant, who have suffered from the shortcomings and malfeasance of the securities industry; and, the general inherent equity powers of this Court.

II. BACKGROUND

Claimant placed the amount of \$500,000.00 (the "Investment") with Emerald Asset Advisors, LLC ("Emerald") which purchased with the Investment a limited partnership interest in the Rye Select Broad Market Fund, LP ("Rye Fund") under the authority of the Rye Fund's General Partner, Tremont Partners, Inc. ("Tremont Partners"), a subsidiary of Tremont Group Holdings, Inc., copies of said documents evidencing the Investment are attached hereto. Emerald represented to Claimant that Tremont Partners placed all of the assets of the Rye Fund with Madoff and Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BLMIS"). Claimant made the foregoing investments because it knew the funds would be invested with Madoff in a directed account at BLMIS. Moreover, Claimant was provided with certain documents, such as the Client Statement (a copy of which is attached hereto), which emphasized Madoff's connection with the Rye Fund. Accordingly, there is no question but that Claimant believed that its Investment would be received, acquired, and held by Madoff and BLMIS in the ordinary course of its business as a broker-dealer in an account for its benefit and safekeeping, with a view to sale, to cover consummated sales, pursuant to purchases, as collateral security, or for purposes of effecting transfer. In other words, Claimant deposited cash with Emerald for the purpose of having Madoff and BLMIS purchase securities for its benefit.

Claimant's final Client Statement from the Rye Fund, attached hereto, reflects securities owed to Claimant in the amount of \$563,980.26. Because it has been determined by the Trustee that the trades reported on said statement (as well as Claimant's preceding statements) were fabricated, Claimant only seeks to recover its initial investment of \$500,000.00.

III. ANALYSIS

It is beyond dispute that the SIPA “is remedial legislation. As such it should be construed liberally to effect its purpose.” *In re First State Securities Corp.*, 34 B.R. 492, 496 (Fla. 1983) (quoting *Tcherepnin v. Knight*, 389 U.S. 332 (1967)). “The purpose is the protection of the insolvent brokers' customers.” *Id.* at 496. In *Securities and Exchange Commission v. F. O. Baroff Co., Inc.*, 497 F.2d 280 (2d Cir. 1974) [hereinafter, “*F. O. Barroff Co.*”], the Second Circuit gave a detailed analysis of SIPA’s legislative history and intent. *Id.* at 281. Specifically, the court stated that:

[t]he object of that statute, and the function of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC) it created, is to protect the public customers of securities dealers from suffering the consequences of financial instability in the brokerage industry...Once a broker or dealer is found to be on the brink of collapse or in danger of failing to meet its obligations to its customers, a trustee is appointed for liquidation of the business. The firmS [sic] clients are cushioned (within limits) from personal loss through a special fund collected by SIPC from all securities dealers registered under the 1934 Securities Exchange Act...But the Securities Investor Protection Act allows only those who meet its definition of a ‘customer’ to share in this assurance.

F. O. Baroff Co., 497 F.2d at 281 (emphasis added). Citing the House of Representatives, the court went on to state that “[t]he primary purpose of [SIPA] is to provide protection for investors if the broker-dealer with whom they are doing business encounters financial troubles.” *Id.* at 283. In furtherance of SIPA, SIPC, “an independent corporation established by Congress,” was created, “to reinstill[] confidence in the securities markets” by “protect[ing] investors from the effects of chronic instability in the securities industry.” *Massachusetts Financial Services, Inc. v. Securities Investor Protection Corp.*, 411 F. Supp. 411, 412-13 (D.C. Mass. 1976). SIPC’s “prime responsibility [is] to establish...a substantial reserve fund which...provide[s] protection to investors in the event a brokerage firm collapse[s].” *Id.* at 413.

SIPA defines the term “customer” of a debtor as:

any person (including any person with whom the debtor deals as principal or agent) who has a claim on account of securities received, acquired, or held by the debtor in the ordinary course of its business as a broker or dealer from or for the securities accounts of such person for safekeeping, with a view to sale, to cover consummated sales, pursuant to purchases, as collateral security, or for purposes of effecting transfer. The term “customer” includes any person who has a claim against the debtor arising out of sales or conversions of such securities, and any person who has deposited cash with the debtor for the purpose of purchasing securities...

15 U.S.C.A. § 78III(2).

When SIPA was enacted in 1970, it was “Congress’s...intent to provide a ‘safety net’ for all investors who placed their money with US brokerage firms that later turned out to be engaged in fraudulent activities or otherwise failed.” Peter J. Leveton, Co-Chairman, Agile Funds Investor Committee Before the House Financial Services Subcommittee on Capital Markets, Insurance and Government Sponsored Enterprises: Additional Reforms to the Securities Investor Protection Act (Dec. 9, 2009) [hereinafter “*Leveton Testimony*”]. SIPA aims to “protect all investors from losing 100% of their investment in fraudulent investment schemes run by brokerages supervised by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”).” *Id.* “In 1970, Senator Edmund S. Muskie proclaimed, in urging the prompt enactment of SIPA: ‘...after this bill is enacted, no American will lose his savings through a brokerage firm bankruptcy.’” *Leveton Testimony* (citing Federal Broker Dealer Ins. Corporation: Hearing on S2388, 3988 and 3989 before the Subcommittee on Securities of the Senate Com. on Banking and Currency, 95th Congress Cog. 10 (1970) at 147)). “He did not say that only those investors who invested directly” with a bankrupt broker-dealer would be protected under SIPA, “but, instead, that **all Americans** would received such relief.” *Id.*

The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York has further discussed the definition of the term “customer” in *Securities and Exchange Commission v. Kenneth Bove & Co., Inc.*, 378 F. Supp. 697 (S.D.N.Y. 1974) [hereinafter “*Kenneth Bove & Co.*”]. The Court found that in order to be considered a “customer” under SIPA, “the claimant must have entrusted his securities to the debtor in liquidation,” and have been “on account of securities received, acquired, or held by the debtor.” *Id.* at 699. Claims pursuant to SIPA are “grounded on possession of identifiable securities by the broker.” *Id.* Under SIPA, “**the preferential protection is accorded to a person who can trace and identify the...funds in the hands of the stockbroker.**” *Id.* (emphasis added).

Claimant’s funds are clearly traceable and can be identified as being in the direct control of Madoff and BLMIS. As previously stated, Claimant, believing this to be a Madoff and BLMIS directed investment, permitted Emerald to purchase with the Investment a limited partnership interest in the Rye Fund, with the funds thereafter placed with Madoff and BLMIS.

Nevertheless, the Trustee, in an obvious effort to limit the allowable claims, takes the position that Claimant is not a “customer” under SIPA because it did not have a securities account with BLMIS, presumably because it did not “hand the money” to Madoff, even though Madoff had created the scenario where the subject money would be handed to his self-created agents; and furthermore, because it has no cognizable claim for net equity. According to the Trustee’s narrow and inequitable interpretation of the term “customer,” investors who invested directly with BLMIS will be entitled to recover up to \$500,000.00 per BLMIS account from SIPC, while investors such as Claimant, who invested in BLMIS through a Madoff-created feeder fund, will receive **nothing** despite having suffered in the same manner. *Leveton Testimony*. Trustee’s position is not only inequitable, but is in direct contravention of Congress’

intent to protect all investors who invested with an inherently fraudulent registered broker-dealer such as BLMIS.

When SIPA was enacted in 1970, there were no such entities like “feeder funds.” Now, forty years later, the creation of hedge funds and feeder funds, implemented to magnify the amount of invested money, has extended investment opportunities and, of course, consequent exposure to a larger number of investors. Accordingly, whether Claimant is entitled to relief under SIPA should be based on SIPA’s original benevolent legislative intent, rather than the non-persuasive authorities set forth by the Trustee in his supporting memorandum. Clearly, it was not, and could not have been, Congress’ intent to discriminate between direct and indirect investors. All actual investors in BLMIS, regardless of whether they invested directly or indirectly, have “lost their money to the same fraud and suffered the same devastating effects,” at the hands of Madoff. *Leveton Testimony*. Claimant, like the direct investors, invested its funds with the express intent and expectation that securities would be purchased by Madoff and BLMIS for its benefit. Accordingly, it is fundamentally unfair to deny Claimant’s claim merely because its invested funds traveled to Madoff and BLMIS indirectly through a “feeder fund,” a self-serving implementation by Madoff and BLMIS to access greater numbers of the unsuspecting public.

IV. CONCLUSION

Claimant is clearly a “customer” under SIPA and should be afforded its protection. The fact that Claimant’s funds were not given directly from Claimant to BLMIS is of no consequence. As an investor, Claimant should be protected from the effects of the instable securities industry and, more specifically, the collapse of BLMIS, in which Claimant’s assets were invested through presumably unsuspecting agents of Madoff and BLMIS, Emerald, the Rye

Fund, and Tremont Partners. To deny Claimant's claim would directly contravene the legislative intent of SIPA. Accordingly, Claimant respectfully requests that the Trustee's Motion be denied; that its claim be granted; and that it be afforded the protection to which it is entitled pursuant to SIPA.

Dated: July 12, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jan Douglas Atlas

Jan Douglas Atlas

E-mail: jda@adorno.com

Adorno & Yoss LLP

350 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 1700

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301-4217

Phone: (954) 763-1200

Fax: (954) 766-7800

*Attorneys for Claimant Jane K. Mirande Revocable
Trust*



BNY ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENT
SERVICES, INC.
101 Barclay Street
20th Floor West
New York, New York 10286
USA

Ms. Pamela Palmer
Emerald Asset Advisors, LLC
2843 Executive Park Drive
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33327
USA

CLIENT STATEMENT

A/C Number: 00-1200-700015183
Period Beginning: 01-Nov-2008
Period Ending: 30-Nov-2008
Fax Number:
E-Mail: ppalmer@emeraldasset.com

This statement is being provided to you at the request of Jane K Mirande Revocable Trust

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY		
Security	% Change	
	Period to Date	Year to Date
Rye Select Broad Market Fund, LP	1.73%	7.55%

PERIOD ACTIVITY					
Security	Net Opening Capital	Contribution	Net Income / (Loss)	Withdrawals / Trans / ReReg	Net Closing Capital
Rye Select Broad Market Fund, LP	554,407.78	0.00	9,572.48	0.00	563,980.26
Totals	554,407.78	0.00	9,572.48	0.00	563,980.26

DISCLOSURE NOTES	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % Change results are Net of all fees. • Year To Date change is based on Calendar Year which ends on December 31st. • All values are in USD. • This information is not intended to be used for tax reporting or planning. • This information is unaudited. Audited statements are issued upon conclusion of the fiscal year end audit. • The Fund's net asset value has been calculated on the basis of market value information with respect to the Fund's portfolio obtained from third parties, including independent pricing vendors, the Fund's manager and administrators of funds in which the Fund may have invested. BNY-AIS does not give any assurances with respect to the accuracy of such market value information. • Past performance as described herein is not necessarily indicative of future results. • The Bank of New York acts as custodian with respect to cash. With respect to the portfolio's investments, such investments have been and will continue to be custodied for the benefit of the portfolio at Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC, 885 Third Avenue, New York, NY, 10022. • Additional information is available at www.ryeselectfunds.com. 	

INVESTOR INQUIRIES			
Telephone: 212.815.4090	Facsimile: 212.644.6669	Email: AISOnline_NY@bankofny.com	Web: www.fundadmin.com

Online Account Statements



Investor : Jane & Richard Mirande
Company Name :
Account Type : Trust

Address : Jane K. Mirande Revocable Trust
340 S. Us Highway 1
Apt. 501
Jupiter, FL 334777

Account Summary (Year-To-Date)

Statement Date: May, 31 2007

Portfolio Name	Value at May 31, 2007	Net Cont./With. (*1)	Net Return	Value at May 31, 2007 (*2)	ROR (%)
Rye Select Broad Market Fund, L.P.	\$0	\$500,000	\$4,561	\$504,561	0.91
Relationship Total	\$0	\$500,000	\$4,561	\$504,561	

Current Activity

Portfolio Name	Value at May 01, 2007	Contributions	Withdrawals	Value at May 31, 2007 (*3)
Rye Select Broad Market Fund, L.P.	\$0	\$500,000	\$0	\$504,561
Relationship Total	\$0	\$500,000	\$0	\$504,561

Important Note: All results shown on this statement are not considered final and are subject to adjustment pending the outcome of each fund's annual audit. Past Performance as described herein is not necessarily indicative of future results.

*1. The amount shown reflects the net amount contributed and/or withdrawn, as the case may be, taking into account all contributions and/or withdrawals made during the period.

*2. The above estimated value is net of all fees, expenses, and the performance incentive allocation year-to-date, if applicable.

*3. Value shown takes into account all contributions and withdrawals and the calculated return on the net invested amount for the period, less all fees, expenses and the performance incentive allocation year-to-date, if applicable.

Cash contained in the Rye Select Broad Market Fund, L.P. ("RSBMF") has been, and will continue to be, held at Bank of America, 1 Landmark Square, Stamford, CT 06901, in an account in the name of the RSBMF and over which Tremont Partners, Inc., the partnership's General Partner exercises authority and control.

With respect to the RSBMF investments, such investments have been, and will continue to be custodied for the benefit of the partnership at Bernard L. Madoff Securities LLC, 885 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022.

charles SCHWAB
INSTITUTIONAL

Wire Transfer Letter of Authorization

Page 1 of 2

Investment Advisor ("IA") Information (This portion to be completed by IA.)

IA Firm Name (please print): **EMERALD ASSET ADVISORS LLC**

IA Master Account Number: **8962470**

Service Team: **IST 2**

- The wire transfer fee is \$25. For foreign wires, contact your Investment Advisor for specific information required.
- Note: Distribution forms are required for retirement accounts. Call your Investment Advisor for the correct form.

1. One-Time or Standing Instructions (Select A or B.)

A. ☒ One-time \$ **500,000.00**

Amount to be Wired (in U.S. Dollars)

B. ☐ Standing Instructions Authorization (optional)

Please initial below only if you want your IA to initiate wire transfers to accounts of unlike registration.

You may revoke this authorization by notifying Schwab. If more than one person is listed on the account, each account holder must initial the authorization granted below. (An X is not sufficient.)

Standing Instructions. I authorize Schwab to transfer funds from this account to the receiving bank name on this form at the direction of my IA. I have previously granted my IA disbursement authority on this Schwab account. I hereby acknowledge that this authority is effective regardless of any differences in registration between these two accounts.

Account Holder/ Trustee/Custodian/ Executor	Additional Account Holder/Co-Trustee/ Co-Custodian/ Co-Executor	\$ _____ Amount Requested Today (in U.S. Dollars)	\$ _____ Maximum Amount for Future Wires (in U.S. Dollars)	Date Instructions Expire (mm/dd/yyyy)
---	--	--	---	---------------------------------------

2. Schwab Account Information

Richard Mirande Rev Trust

1064-6081

Account Holder Name(s) (as they appear on your statement)

Schwab Account Number

3. Receiving Bank Information (For examples, see Section 5.)

\$ **500,000.00**

Amount to Be Wired (in U.S. Dollars)

If Foreign Wire in Currency Other Than U.S. Dollars, Indicate Currency Type and Amount

Bank of New York

Receiving Bank Name

021000018

Receiving Bank Phone Number

Receiving Bank Routing Number (ABA, IBAN or SWIFT code)

8900631473

Receiving Bank Address (required for foreign bank)

Account Number at Receiving Bank

Rye Select Broad Market Fund, LP

Name(s) on Receiving Bank Account (registration)

Address of Account Holder at Receiving Bank if Different from Schwab Account Registration (example: Brokerage Firm/Escrow Company)

Further Credit/Escrow Account Number (if applicable)

Escrow Company Phone Number

Name of Final Beneficiary (if applicable)

Address of Final Beneficiary (required if final beneficiary is different from Schwab account registration)

4. Please Read and Sign (Signatures required.)

Please note: I understand that wiring funds outside of the U.S. may take three to five business days.

I authorize Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. ("Schwab") to wire funds from my Schwab account pursuant to the above instructions. I agree to indemnify and hold harmless Schwab, its affiliates and their directors, officers, employees and agents from and against all claims, actions, costs and liabilities, including attorneys' fees, arising out of or relating to: (1) their reliance on this Letter of Authorization, and (2) Schwab's execution of IA's instructions.

Please note: If this is a Custodial account, I acknowledge and agree that any funds or securities transferred out of the account, and into the account of the custodian or other account, shall be used or applied solely for the benefit of the minor.

For standing instructions only: I understand that Schwab may accept future requests to wire funds from my account according to the above instructions. Such requests may be made by any person having signing authority with respect to my Schwab account and may be delivered orally, in person or by telephone, or may be transmitted by facsimile or delivered in a signed writing.

Signature: **Jane Mirande**

Signature: Account Holder/Trustee/Custodian/Executor

Print Name: **Jane Mirande**

Date: **4/24/07**

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Signature: **Richard Mirande**

Signature: Additional Account Holder/Co-Trustee/Co-Custodian/Co-Executor

Print Name: **Richard Mirande**

Date: **4/24/07**

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Signature: Investment Advisor (if applicable)

Print Name

Date

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Note: Investment Advisor may sign only if the account holder has granted disbursement authority AND there is a final beneficiary account number whose registration is IDENTICAL to the Schwab account registration.

WB
©2006 Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. All rights reserved. Member SIPC.

Schwab Institutional is a division of Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. FTA 02478 (1106-8122) APP20201PDF-03 (11/06)



1 9 9 8 0 1

Charles SCHWAB
INSTITUTIONAL

Schwab One® Trust Account of
R MIRANDE & J MIRANDE TTEE

Account Number
1064-6081

Statement Period
April 1-30, 2007

Transaction Detail - Purchases & Sales (continued)

Mutual Funds Activity (continued)

Settle Date	Trade Date	Transaction	Description	Quantity	Unit Price	Total Amount
04/27/07	04/26/07	Sold	LEUTHOLD CORE INVESTMENT FUND: LCORX	(402.6170)	19.8700	8,000.00
04/27/07	04/26/07	Sold	PERMANENT PORTFOLIO: PRPFX	(530.5040)	33.9300	18,000.00
04/27/07	04/26/07	Sold	PRUDENT BEAR FUND: BEARX	(3,350.9700)	5.6700	19,000.00
04/27/07	04/26/07	Sold	QUAKER STRATEGIC GROWTH CLASS A FUND: QUAGX	(950.8060)	24.1900	23,000.00
04/27/07	04/26/07	Sold	RYDEX INVERSE GOVT LONG BOND INV CL: RYJUX	(1,435.1610)	19.5100	28,000.00
04/27/07	04/26/07	Sold	SCHWAB HEDGED EQUITY FUND SELECT SHARES: SWHEX	(1,400.7310)	16.4200	23,000.00

Total Mutual Funds Activity

356,900.10

Total Purchases & Sales

356,900.10

Transaction Detail - Deposits & Withdrawals

Transaction Process Date	Date	Activity	Description	Location	Credit/(Debit)
	04/27/07	Funds Paid	WIRED FUNDS DISBURSED		(500,000.00) *

Total Deposits & Withdrawals

(500,000.00)

Transaction Detail - Dividends & Interest (including Money Market Fund dividends reinvested)

Transaction Process Date	Date	Activity	Description	Credit/(Debit)
	04/02/07	Cash Dividend	PROSHARES SHORT S&P 500	265.13

Please see "Endnotes for Your Account" section for an explanation of the endnote codes and symbols on this statement.

CT482305-000755 484882

© 2008 Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. All rights reserved. Member SIPC. Schwab Institutional is a division of Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. ("Schwab"). This statement is furnished solely for your account at Schwab. Except as noted in this statement's Terms and Conditions, Investment Advisors whose names appear in this statement are not affiliated with Schwab. Please see Terms and Conditions. (2002-2113; 2002-240) STP25266R1-01

